

STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7250

Petition of Deerfield Wind, LLC for a Certificate of Public Good)
authorizing it to construct up to a 45 MW wind generation facility,)
and associated transmission and interconnection facilities,)
comprised of between 15 and 24 wind turbines on approximately 80)
acres in the Green Mountain National Forest, located in Searsburg)
and Readsboro, with turbines to be placed both on the east side of)
Route 8 on the same ridgeline as the existing GMP Searsburg wind)
facility Eastern Project Area), and along the ridgeline to the west of)
Route 8 in a northwesterly orientation (Western Project Area))

**PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
PAUL KERLINGER**

ON BEHALF OF DEERFIELD WIND, LLC

July 30, 2007

Summary:

Mr. Kerlinger testifies regarding 10 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5). He discusses his review of the revised project layout and its effect, if any, on his original assessment of potential impacts to breeding and migrating birds.

1 **Q. Please state your name.**

2 Response. Paul Kerlinger.

3

4 **Q. Have you previously submitted direct testimony in this matter?**

5 Response. Yes.

6

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?**

8 Response. The purpose of my testimony is to review the revised project layout in
9 terms of its potential impacts on breeding and migrating birds.

10

11 **Q. Have you reviewed the revised project layout dated June 2007, consisting of 17
12 turbines (10 on the western string and 7 on the eastern string)?**

13 Response. Yes.

14

15 **Q. Is the revised project layout within the Project area that you originally
16 investigated?**

17 Response. Yes.

18

19 **Q. How, if at all, is the revised layout different from the layout that you assessed
20 in your original testimony, in terms of potential impacts to birds?**

21 Response. I believe that the revised turbine layout will not change the potential risk
22 to birds as stated in my earlier testimony and reports.

1 **Q. Do the revisions to the layout alter your original conclusion that the Project**
2 **will not result in an undue adverse impact on birds? Please explain.**

3 Response. No. Because the number, type, size, and locations of turbines are similar
4 to the earlier site plan, there is no reason to suspect that risk to birds will be changed
5 materially.

6

7 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?**

8 Response. Yes, it does.